REMARKS

1	Election/Restriction
. 2	The election of Group I, claims 1 - 10 is affirmed.
3	<u>Title</u>
4	The title has been changed.
5	Drawings
6 7	The objection to the drawings under 37 CFR 1.83(a) is respectfully traversed.
8	Claims 3 and 5 have been cancelled, thus rendering the objection moot.
9	Claim Rejections 35 USC 112
10	The rejection of claims 1 - 10 under 35 USC 112 is respectfully traversed.

- Claim 1 has been amended to specify that the barrier layer is between the monocrystalline substrate and the buried strap.
- 3 <u>Claim Rejections 35 USC 102</u>
- The rejection of claims 1 7, 9 and 10 under 35 USC 102(b) is respectfully traversed.
- 6 Claim 1 has been amended to recite explicitly that the barrier layer is Si-C.
- 7 The specification states in paragraph 23 that the term means that Si-O
- 8 bonds in the silicon surface are replaced with Si C bonds.
- Claim 1 has been further amended, in order to distinguish clearly over the reference, to specify that the structure of the barrier layer is not that of silicon carbide.
- In contrast, the Tsunashima reference specifies in Col. 2, lines 56 57 that the interface layer is silicon carbide.
- Thus, there is a clear distinction between the invention defined by claim 1 and that of the reference.

1	In addition, claim 1 further specifies that the Si-C barrier layer has been
2	formed in the course of a plasma-assisted etch of an oxide layer. This last
3	restriction is in the nature of a product by process limitation that has
4	resulted in the novel structure specified earlier in the claim.
. 5	Claim Rejection 35 USC 103
6	The rejection of claim 8 under 35 USC 103 is respectfully traversed for the
. 7	same reasons as the rejection under 35 USC 102.
,	
8 .	For the foregoing reasons, allowance of the claims is respectfully
9	solicited.
10 11	Respectfully submitted,
12	El Charles
13	by: Eric W. Petraske, Attorney
14 15	Registration No. 28,459 Tel. (203) 798-1857
10	1011 (200) 100 100 1